

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 JED SHIPMAN,

Case No. 2:21-cv-00419-KJD-VCF

4 Plaintiff

ORDER

5 v.

6 WASHOE COUNTY DETENTION
FACILITY et al.,

7 Defendants

8

9 This action began with a *pro se* civil rights complaint filed under 42 U.S.C. § 1983
10 by a former state prisoner. On September 7, 2021, this Court issued an order directing
11 Plaintiff to file his updated address with this Court by September 24, 2021. (ECF No. 3.)
12 The deadline has now expired, and Plaintiff has not filed his updated address or otherwise
13 responded to the Court's order.

14 District courts have the inherent power to control their dockets and “[i]n the
15 exercise of that power, they may impose sanctions including, where appropriate . . .
16 dismissal” of a case. *Thompson v. Hous. Auth. of City of Los Angeles*, 782 F.2d 829, 831
17 (9th Cir. 1986). A court may dismiss an action, with prejudice, based on a party’s failure
18 to prosecute an action, failure to obey a court order, or failure to comply with local rules.
19 See *Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53-54 (9th Cir. 1995) (affirming dismissal for
20 noncompliance with local rule); *Ferdik v. Bonzelet*, 963 F.2d 1258, 1260-61 (9th Cir.
21 1992) (affirming dismissal for failure to comply with an order requiring amendment of
22 complaint); *Carey v. King*, 856 F.2d 1439, 1440-41 (9th Cir. 1988) (affirming dismissal
23 for failure to comply with local rule requiring *pro se* plaintiffs to keep court apprised of
24 address); *Malone v. U.S. Postal Service*, 833 F.2d 128, 130 (9th Cir. 1987) (affirming
25 dismissal for failure to comply with court order); *Henderson v. Duncan*, 779 F.2d 1421,
26 1424 (9th Cir. 1986) (affirming dismissal for lack of prosecution and failure to comply with
local rules).

27 In determining whether to dismiss an action for lack of prosecution, failure to obey
28 a court order, or failure to comply with local rules, the court must consider several factors:

1 (1) the public's interest in expeditious resolution of litigation; (2) the court's need to
 2 manage its docket; (3) the risk of prejudice to the defendants; (4) the public policy favoring
 3 disposition of cases on their merits; and (5) the availability of less drastic alternatives.
 4 See *Thompson*, 782 F.2d at 831; *Henderson*, 779 F.2d at 1423-24; *Malone*, 833 F.2d at
 5 130; *Ferdik*, 963 F.2d at 1260-61; *Ghazali*, 46 F.3d at 53.

6 Here, the Court finds that the first two factors, the public's interest in expeditiously
 7 resolving this litigation and the Court's interest in managing the docket, weigh in favor of
 8 dismissal. The third factor, risk of prejudice to Defendants, also weighs in favor of
 9 dismissal, since a presumption of injury arises from the occurrence of unreasonable delay
 10 in filing a pleading ordered by the court or prosecuting an action. See *Anderson v. Air*
 11 *West*, 542 F.2d 522, 524 (9th Cir. 1976). The fourth factor—public policy favoring
 12 disposition of cases on their merits—is greatly outweighed by the factors in favor of
 13 dismissal discussed herein. Finally, a court's warning to a party that his failure to obey
 14 the court's order will result in dismissal satisfies the "consideration of alternatives"
 15 requirement. *Ferdik*, 963 F.2d at 1262; *Malone*, 833 F.2d at 132-33; *Henderson*, 779
 16 F.2d at 1424. The Court's order requiring Plaintiff to file his updated address with the
 17 Court by September 24, 2021, expressly stated: "It is further ordered that, if Plaintiff fails
 18 to timely comply with this order, this case will be subject to dismissal without prejudice."
 19 (ECF No. 3 at 2.) Thus, Plaintiff had adequate warning that dismissal would result from
 20 his noncompliance with the Court's order to file his updated address by September 24,
 21 2021.

22 It is therefore ordered that this action is dismissed without prejudice based on
 23 Plaintiff's failure to file an updated address in compliance with this Court's September 24,
 24 2021, order.

25 It is further ordered that the Clerk of Court will close the case and enter judgment
 26 accordingly.

27 DATED THIS 30 day of September 2021.



28 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE